

Reviewer's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**1) Does the manuscript warrant publication in scientific journal?**

\_\_\_\_\_ Acceptable in present form

\_\_\_\_\_ Acceptable with minor revision, no further review necessary

\_\_\_\_\_ Major revision and a second review is required

\_\_\_\_\_ Not acceptable (provide detailed explanation under "comments" below)

**2) Is the title satisfactory? If not, explain.**

**3) Does the abstract or the executive summary adequately summarize the paper** or could it be more complete or concise? *Indicate suggested revision on the manuscript or under "comments" (below).*

**4) Have all the domains of the EUNetHTA Core Model been investigated?** Check the domains investigated



**5) Are sufficient references provided?** Are they appropriate and free from obvious omissions? If not, explain.

**6) Does the paper present material effectively?** *Indicate suggested changes on manuscript or under "comments" (below).*

Could the clarity or efficiency be improved by changes in the order of the paper? \_\_\_\_\_

- Should the language or grammar be improved? \_\_\_\_\_
- Are there portions of the text that could be omitted? \_\_\_\_\_

**7) Are there errors in factual information, logic, analysis, statistics, or mathematics?**  
 \_\_\_\_\_ *Address these issues in detail in the "comments" (below). Suggest improvements.*

**8) Mechanical errors** (*address on the manuscript*)

----- Figures or tables improperly or incompletely labeled or titled or not cited

----- Misuse of references (failure to cite, reference needed and not provided)

----- Other: -----

**9) Comments** (Attach additional pages as necessary)

Page Number	Line Number	Comment
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18.		
19.		
20.		

**10) More tips and advice**